

**STOKE ORCHARD & TREDINGTON PARISH COUNCIL
MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON 2 OCTOBER 2018 AT 7.00PM**

Venue: Stoke Orchard Community Centre, Stoke Orchard.

Present: Cllr. R. Chatham (Chairman), Cllrs. J. Gilder, A. Macdonald, A. Troughton and H. Webber

Attendees: Parish Clerk/RFO, J. Owen and 2 members of the public

Minute Ref.	Details	Actions
2.10.18.1	<p>Recording and filming of the meeting</p> <p>There were no requests from the public to record the meeting.</p>	
2.10.18.2	<p>There were no comments from the public</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference agenda item 7a, what was the proportion of the overall increase from existing property? <p>Chairman stated it was considerable, the garages came forward but there was no alteration to the width of the property and garage was only single storey.</p>	
2.10.18.3	<p>To note apologies for absence</p> <p>Received apologies from Cllrs. J Gilder, L. Holmes and B. Cllr. Gore. Clerk confirmed that due to B. Cllr. Gore being a member of TBC Planning Committee she was unable to attend the parish council planning meetings but would always be happy to assist with any queries etc.</p>	
2.10.18.4	<p>Declarations of interest and to confirm meeting quorate</p> <p>Cllr. Troughton declared a pecuniary interest on Agenda Item No. 7c. Cllr. Webber declared a non-registerable interest on Agenda Item No. 7a. Clerk confirmed meeting was quorate.</p>	
2.10.18.5	<p>To consider and resolve to agree payment list and those paid since last meeting</p> <p>THE COUNCIL APPROVED the payment list, also the Financial Report/Bank Reconciliation, see Appendix 1. Chairman confirmed both Lloyds Bank Statements to the Bank Reconciliation.</p> <p>THE COUNCIL APPROVED a request from the clerk to purchase 11th Edition of Local Council Administration by Charles Arnold-Baker at a discounted price of £103.99 Clerk reported on receipt of \$106 monies dating back to October 2017 in the sum of £8,275.43, also grass cutting income from GCC of £607.50. Chris Wiggins gave a report on the updated Community Centre CCTV system. THE COUNCIL APPROVED the purchase of one further high definition camera at a cost of £558.80 plus VAT and the chairman asked Chris Wiggins to provide a short report with details of the updated system when installation was complete.</p>	
2.10.18.6	<p>Received Clerk's Report and updated it as follows:</p>	
Minute Ref. 7.11.17.10	<p>Details</p> <p>Dog bins at Knaresboro Homes</p>	<p>Actions</p> <p>Following positions for dog bins were agreed: 1 at end of Banady Lane 1 at other end of that footpath, where people came onto Pennycress estate 1 by pumping station, mounted on a fence post At meeting on 2.10.18 members agreed to ask Cllr.</p>

Gilder to install dog bin in Banady Lane ASAP

- 4.9.18.7 Map of dog bins to be drawn-up to send to TBC to assist with emptying rota It was confirmed Cllr. Webber had completed Stoke Orchard area. Chairman confirmed he would list Tredington dog bins and then plot on map to send to TBC
- 6.3.18.13d New mail addresses for councilors in line with GDPR Ongoing
- 7.11.17.11 Update on Community Emergency Plan Nothing to report
- 7.11.17.13 Update on land by the Chapel Chairman had taken photographs of land by the chapel and sent email to TBC on 2.10.18 to chase. It was agreed clerk would contact B. Cllr. Gore to see if she could ugre the situation further.
- Chairman noted the parish council had been successful with TPO on perry pear tree which was excellent news, it was good to know the council had made a difference.
- 2.10.18.7 **Planning:**
- 2.10.18.7a Members considered response to Planning Application No. 18/00865/FUL - 10 Archers Lane Stoke Orchard - Erection of a two storey front and side and single storey rear extension, including glass canopy. Erection of single storey attached front garage, (to be submitted by 4.10.18) Clerk to advise TBC
Members objected to the planning application due to the concerns raised regarding the incursion into the front garden and moving the development line that far forward.
- 2.10.18.7b Members considered response to Appeal Consultation 17/00520/OUT - Land at Fiddington, Ashchurch - Residential development (up to 850 dwellings), a primary school, local centre (comprising up to 2,000 sq. m. gross internal floor area (A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 and D1 uses) Clerk to submit on Appeals Casework Portal
Members wished to re-affirm its objection to the application on the grounds of the effect upon their own parish on the grounds of traffic and flooding as stated in Appendix 2.
- 2.10.18.7c Members considered response to Planning Application No. 18/00617/FUL - Variation of Condition 4 (Landscape Plan) of planning permission 12/01220/FUL, Troughton Solar Farm, Part Parcel 6076, Stoke Road, Stoke Orchard (to be submitted by 4.10.18) Clerk to advise TBC
Members considered the Landscape Officer's report and agreed to accept the conditions and revised plan contained therein.
- 2.10.18.7d Members considered response to Planning Application 18/00873/FUL - Old Station Yard, Stoke Road, Stoke Orchard. Storage Building for Tree Surgeon and Arboriculturalist Tools and Timber. Clerk to advise TBC
Members objected to the planning application due to the concerns raised regarding the access for commercial use and the intensification and escalation of use in due course.
- 2.10.18.7e Members considered response to Planning Application No. 18/00746/FUL – Change of use of a 1 hectare area of a site known as Old Stoke Airfield from agricultural to the flying of model aircraft as defined by the civil aviation authority and the British model flying association, creation of a new access onto an existing road, access track and parking area on existing concrete hardstanding, siting of 2 x containers to be used as a clubhouse and storage, 1 x Portaloo and landscaping including planting of recreational grass. Land on Clerk to advise TBC

the south side of Stoke Road, Stoke Orchard

Stoke Orchard and Tredington Parish Council wished to confirm its objection to Application No. 18/00746/FUL and further refutes the published Rebuttal on the following grounds – See Appendix 3

7.8.18.8 **Next Meeting:** Full Parish Council Meeting – 6 November 2018
Meeting closed: 19.49pm

Chairman

Signed

Date

Appendix 1

Stoke Orchard & Tredington Parish Council Financial Report at 2.10.18

Details

	Receipts	Payments
Community Heartbeat - BACS set-up		0.01
SLCC Subscription		150.00
TK Refrigeration Ltd. Inv No 27493 Freezer repair		219.790
PKF Littlejohn LLP Inv N SB201803397 External Audit		480.000
Typecraft - Newsletter Inv No 81883		165.00
Apple Distribution Int. USB-C AV Adapter		69.00
J Owen Clerk's salary Sept. 2018		581.82
J Owen Clerk's expenses Sept. 2018		35.59
Community Heartbeat - chq cancelled, see BACS	235.00	
HMRC VAT refund	12785.84	
GCC Grass Cutting income	607.50	
TOTALS	13628.34	1701.21

BANK RECONCILIATION

Opening balance	7116.85
Plus receipts	13628.34
	20745.19
Less payments	1701.21
Closing cash balance	19043.98
Add payments to be authorised	1701.20
Closing balance at bank 2.10.18	20745.18

Balance as per bank statements as at 2.10.2018

Lloyds Acc. 03017475 - Current Acc	20745.18
Lloyds Acc.07965106 - Community Fund	66470.63
Total of Parish Council Funds at 2.10.18	87215.81

Finally received \$106 monies from October 2017
(This is included in Community Fund Acc. above)

8,275.43

Appendix 2

Agenda Item 2.10.18.7b

Objection to Appeal Consultation on Application 17/00520/OUT

Stoke Orchard and Tredington Parish Council wish to confirm its objection to the Appeal Consultation on Application 17/00520/OUT - Land at Fiddington, Ashchurch - Residential development (up to 850 dwellings), a primary school, local centre (comprising up to 2,000 sq. m. gross internal floor area (A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 and D1).

The Council wish to re-affirm its objection to this application on the grounds of the effect upon our own Parish on the grounds of traffic and flooding as stated below:

Traffic/Transport

The Fiddington Lane adjacent to this proposed development has become a relief route from the A46 at Ashchurch through country roads to Tredington and then:

1. Back to the A 38 to Gloucester and onward toward Gloucester
2. Through Tredington to Stoke Orchard and on towards Cheltenham

In our own traffic survey carried out by Gloucestershire Highways and commissioned by a local business situated on the Fiddington lane - **Multi-Day Volume Report GCC_SURVEYMANAGER 0000010438 2016-11-03 to 2016-11-09** - the level of traffic using this lane was considered unsustainable for a Country lane with sharp bends, inadequate sight lines and no salting/gritting at times of snow and ice giving rise to numerous RTA's. This lane is accessed on to the A46 on the rise to a Railway Bridge and in Tredington on a bend with poor sightlines and onwards to the Odessa or the Gloucester Old Spot – both notorious for accidents.

Our Survey shows the average traffic level at least **30% higher on a daily and max hourly basis** than that given on the PFA Survey that accompanies this application.

This Application, if successful would undoubtedly add to the unacceptable level of traffic through country lanes attempting to avoid the A46 traffic jams which continually blight our area. The Parish Council object to this application on the basis of traffic increase within its area.

Flooding

The Parish Council also objects to this site on the matter of Flood and Storm water drainage. As given in the covering document in respect of flooding, this application is based on land with Flood Area 1,2 and 3 and is situated alongside the Tirlle Brook. This Brook has given and continues to give rise to flooding in residential areas, and without adequate improvements to flow and capacity right through to the River Severn, the extra storm water run-off from the extra development alongside the Tirlle will give rise to increased flooding on the lower Swilgate basin, into which the Tirlle flows, exacerbating the flooding problems within our own Parish which lies on the River Swilgate, the Dean Brook and the Hyde Brook all flowing through the Swilgate Basin. Any increase on the Tirlle Flow rates will materially increase flooding in our own Parish in particular and the Tewkesbury area in general.

The Parish Council also made observations on the proposed design layout. From experience within their own Community, the parking areas related to the School and Community Centre are totally inadequate!!

Therefore, Stoke Orchard and Tredington Parish Council wish to object to this application on the points of:

1. Transport

Inadequate Transport Infrastructure to meet the needs of the Locality

See NPPF, paragraph 31

Local authorities should work with neighbouring authorities and transport providers to develop strategies for the provision of viable infrastructure

2. Flood and Storm Water Management

Site situated in Flood Areas 1,2 and 3 with no adequate flood avoidance strategy in the increase of storm water flow levels on a stand-alone basis and having regard to the high increase in that are and historic catastrophic flooding from this watercourse

See NPPF, paragraph 103

When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere

Appendix 3

Agenda Item 2.10.18.7e

Response to Application No. 18/00746/FUL

Stoke Orchard and Tredington Parish Council wish to confirm its Objection to Application No. 18/00746/FUL and further refutes the published Rebuttal on the following grounds:

1. Green Belt. Flying Model aircraft may be recreational but it is NOT a sport. Furthermore, the Parish Council consider that with the noise pollution it is not an acceptable use of Green Belt Land. The Parish Council confirms its original Objection section 1. *this use will be for controlled access and not for outdoor sport. The Application will bring a serious noise element that will create nuisance within the green belt, will not enhance either amenity or Biodiversity but create serious problems for the surrounding area.*
2. There is still no Environmental Assessment giving Sound levels given that the proposed Flying Times are Monday to Saturday 8.00am til Dusk, and Sunday 10.00am until Dusk. These operation hours with the given sound levels are unacceptable.
3. Environmental Grounds 1. The Parish Council still maintain that sustained noise pollution from jet and piston powered models within the given flying area at 82 dbs. will affect units outside the flying area and quote –
main legislation is the Environmental Protection Agency Act 1992, which defines environmental pollution as including noise that is a nuisance, or that would endanger human health or damage property or damage the environment. It provides for various actions to be taken to prevent or limit noise pollution. 10 Aug 2016
4. Environmental Grounds 2. The given Flying area boundary is within 100 metres of six Residential Properties and 120 metres of the boundary of a new residential estate. The given sound level of jet and piston engine models is equal to the sound of a single engine piston prop aeroplane at 1000 ft - this sound level at the given times is unacceptable and an environmental hazard. Please note the sounds levels are the given dbs. level consistent with a model aircraft.
5. The Rebuttal does not consider the concern of the Council. It is clearly stated in the Parish Council Objection that any plane going out of control would/could form a hazard to passing trains at over 100 mph.
6. The Stoke Orchard and Tredington Parish Council have objected for over ten years to any application which increases the use of the access to this site. In a recent application, the

applicant's official Traffic Assessment acknowledged that the entrance was on the verge of being unacceptable. Given the 40mph speed limit, the visibility of the access from the crown of the bridge, and the time taken for vehicles to exit this junction, the calculations are verging on unacceptable. Accidents are noted by the repairs to the recently renewed fencing.

The Council wish to state that while it is in support of recreation and hobbies, from experience which has been minuted in the past within the Parish records, it must object to preserve the quality of life within this rural community where such recreation affects others quality of life and to preserve the pre-requisites of accepted planning regulations and legislation.

DRAFT